8/14/72 HW to PH, PHS

In response to my inquiry about any files on Richmond C. Harper, aka Tito, Rhoads wrote me under date of 8/3 that ,"The name files of the Warren Commission do not contain a file for Richard C. Harper." If the odds are that this is a typists error, I'll have to write again. The more I think about it, with the extensive interest in de Mohrenschildt, his CIA relations, and the mystery of Oswald's getting to Mexico, plus the many reports dealing with an alleged getaway plane, it is completely incredible that there would have been no inquiry into this. There was extensive inquiry into Odio's trip, make this omission that much more incredible. Not even a punk sub reporter would have overlooked this, whether or not he felt the prospects of success good.

I also inquired about mind-conditioning techniques. Rhoads says the CIA supplied two replies, CD1137 and a letter of 6/25/64, both withheld under (b)(1) as "security classified" and "in the interest of the national defense or foreign policy." Attachments to the latter, esp. magazine pieces, are available. They are unaware of any staff papers on the subject. Until we have a better understanding on those things that have been designated as "crazy" and are probably though worse, I'll not go into the main part of this letter. If we do reach a meeting of the minds, I think it will interest you. It is in an area that is currently shall I say delicate? If no more, I am building a record on these things. HW

Please return

6/14/72 ltr to ML, JMG

In response to my inquiry about any files on Richard C. Harper, aka Tito, Hoods wrote me under date of 6/8 that "The Warren Commission do not contain a file for Richard C. Harper." If the odds are that this is a typists error, I'll have to write again. The more I think about it, with the extensive interest in de Mohrenschildt, his CIA relations, and the mystery of Oswald's going to Mexico, plus the many reports dealing with an alleged getaway plane, it is completely incredible that there would have been no inquiry into this. There was extensive inquiry into Jack's trip, even this objection that such are incredible. But even a punk sub reporter would have overlooked this, whether or not he felt the prospects of success good.

I also inquired about the conditioning techniques. Hoods says the CIA has five replies, CD113? and a letter of 5/2/64, both withheld under (b)(1) as "security classified" and "in the interest of the national defense or foreign policy." Attachments to the letter, eg. magazine pieces, are available. They are unaware of any staff papers on the subject. Until we have a better understanding on those things that have been designated as "crux," and are probably though worse, I'll not go into the main part of this letter. If we do reach a meeting of the minds, I think it will interest you. It is in an area that is currently shall I say delicate? If no more, I am building a record on these things. Et

Please return